BELL BOYD & LLOYD

Fax:312

May 16 2005 10:06

P. 01

BELL, BOYD & LLOYDLLC

Three First National Plaza 70 West Madison Street Suite 3100 Chicago, IL 60602-4207 312-372-1121 312-827-8000 FAX

Visit our web site at www.bellboyd.com

FAX COVER SHEET

Date			May 16, 2005			
Total Number	of Pages		8 (Including this	page)		
To Daryl Forte		Company USPTO		City Alexandria, VA	Fax Number 571-273-3580	Phone Number 571-272-3580
From			Thomas C. Basso			······································
Phone.	:		312-807-4310			
Direct Fax	·········		312-827-1272			
Client/Matter N	iumber		0112857-00075			
Message	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • .	Please fax the No	otice of Recordation	n to 312.827.8185.	
			Thank you for yo	our assistance.		

If this transmission is incomplete, please call 312-558-6294.

This document is intended only for the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any use, dissemination or copying of this communication other than by the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original facsimile to us by mail. Thank you.

In re Patent Application of: Haruo Oba et al.

AUTHENTICATION INFORMATION COMMUNICATION SYSTEM AND METHOD, PORTABLE INFORMATION PROCESSING DEVICE AND PROGRAM FURNISHING METHOD

Dockef No.: 112857-075 (09/696,927)

On the date stamped hereon the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office hereby acknowledges the receipt of the following:

- Transmittal Letter, (Duplicate);
- 2. Response to Office Action (3 Pages); and
- Return Receipt Postcard.

Mailed on: July 26, 2004. (JQC)



RECEIVED
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DOCKET

AUG 1 0 2004

DOCKET #: ____

	TRANSMITTAL LETTER (General - Patent Pending)			Docket No. 112857-075		
In Re Application C	Of: Haruo Oba et al.					
Application No. 09/696,927	Filing Date 10/26/2000	Examiner P. Parthasarathy	Customer No. 29175	Group Art Unit 2136	Confirmation 4756	
į		TION COMMUNICATION S DEVICE AND PROGRAM F			FABLE	
Transmitted herewit	th ic	COMMISSIONER FOR PAT	ENTS:		;	
		d Return Receipt Postcard.				
☐ A check in th ☐ The Director as described ☐ Char ☐ Cred	is hereby authorized	is attached. to charge and credit Deposit / required.	Account No.		02-1818	
Jeffrey H. Canfield Reg. No. 38,404	ff Cflef Signature			26, 2004		
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd I. P.O. Box 1135 Chicago, Illinois 6069 Telephone: (312) 807	90-1135		on 7/26/04 first class mail un Commissioner for 22313-1450.	s document and fee With the L der 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and Patents, P.O. Box of Of Person Migling Co	J.S. Postal Service d is addressed to 1450, Alexandria,	
c:			Typed or Printed	Julie Jager Name of Person Mail	line Carreymonden	

P. 04

: 1	TRANS—IT (General - P	Docket No. 112857-075			
In Re Application (Of: Haruo Oba et al.				
Application No. 09/696,927	Filing Date 10/26/2000	Examiner P. Parthasarathy	Customer No. 29175	Group Art Unit 2136	Confirmation No
		ATION COMMUNICATION DEVICE AND PROGRAM			CABLE
Transmitted herewit	h is:	COMMISSIONER FOR PA	TENTS:	<u>.</u>	
:		d Return Receipt Postcard.			
in the above identifi	Ad application				
No additional A check in the	fee is required. e amount of is hereby authorized (is attached. to charge and credit Deposi	Account No.		02-1818
Char	ge the amount of f any overpayment. ge any additional fee	required.			
	1/101	l			
Jeffrey H. Canfield	Signature		Dated: July 20	5, 2004	
Reg. No. 38,404 Bell, Boyd & Lloyd Ll P.O. Box 1135 Chicago, Illinois 6069 Telephone: (312) 807-	0-1135		first class mail unde	document and fee with the U. er 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and Patents, P.O. Box 14	S. Postal Service as
CC:				f Person Meding Cor Julie Jager Jame of Person Mailin	

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Haruo Oba et al.

Appl. No.: 09/696,927

Conf. No.:

4756

Filed:

October 26, 2000

Title:

AUTHENTICATION INFORMATION COMMUNICATION SYSTEM AND

METHOD, PORTABLE INFORMATION PROCESSING DEVICE AND

PROGRAM FURNISHING MEDIUM

Art Unit:

Examiner:

Pramila Parthasarathy

Docket No.: 112857-075

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

The present remarks are in response to the Office Action entered in the above-identified case and mailed on April 24, 2004. In the Office Action, claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,580,356 ("Alt"). The office action further rejects claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alt in combination with U.S. Patent 5,629,981 ("Nerlikar"). Applicants respectfully traverse.

Applicants turn first to claims 1 through 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Alt. A claim is anticipated under §102 only if a single reference teaches every element of the claim. In the present case Alt fails to disclose every element of claims 1 through 24, and therefore the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) should be withdrawn.

Claims 1, 9, 17, 23, and 24 are the sole independent claims. All of the independent claims recite a variable data storage means or a step involving the variable data storage means. The variable data storage means utilizes a user variable ID to authenticate communication initiated by a service furnishing device in the form of a service ID. This allows a user of the system to interact with multiple service furnishing devices at various times and at different places.

Appl. No. 09/696,927

According to the Examiner Alt teaches a variable storage means for holding variable user identification data corresponding to a service furnished by a service furnishing device in Fig. 6 element #64 and the corresponding description at column 12 lines 1-5. Additionally, it is the Examiner's contention that Alt teaches a fixed data storage means for memorizing fixed user identification data capable of identifying a user in Fig. 6 element #63 and the corresponding description at column 11 line 63 - Column 12 line 5. However, this is not the case. In Fig. 6 elements #63 and #64 describe a microprocessor and its memory. The memory described in Alt is a single memory component, as evidenced by the language of Alt, column 12 lines 1-5: "In this way, the body link system and the respective receiving or recipient identification/recognition system engage in a mutual exchange of information. The microprocessor has an associated memory." The only mention of memory components is the phrase "has an associated memory." Alt makes no mention whether the memory is fixed or variable.

Alt merely teaches a single memory component. Nowhere does Alt teach multiple or variable memory components. Alt teaches that only one "PIN number" be used in communications by a portable device with multiple service furnishing devices even if the services are distinct. Alt does not teach or suggest the use of multiple user IDs that are variably based on the service being provided. The examiner rejected the independent method claims, claims 17, 23, and 24, maintaining that Alt teaches a step involving the use of a variable data storage means. As mentioned above, Alt does not teach or even suggest a variable user identification data storage means. Accordingly, Alt necessarily does not teach a step of a method in which a variable storage means is involved.

The closest Alt comes to teaching a variable data storage means is in column 12 lines 1-5 where he states that the microprocessor has an associated memory, which is displayed in Fig. 6. The memory component referred to in Alt is merely a fixed data storage unit that is incapable of variability when interacting with a identification system.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Alt in view of Nerlikar. While the examiner relies on the portability teaching of Nerlikar, the examiner fails to provide a teaching of a variable data storage means. Alt teaches only a fixed memory component. A fixed memory component alone cannot achieve the desired results of petitioners device. Because neither Nerlikar nor Alt teach a variable data storage means, petitioner must overcome the obviousness rejection of claim 16.

Appl. No. 09/696,927



For these reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as presently amended are all in condition for allowance. Applicant therefore requests that the Examiner allow the claims move the application to issue. However, if there are any remaining issues the Examiner is encouraged to call Applicants' attorney, Jeffrey H. Canfield at (312) 807-4233 in order to facilitate a speedy disposition of the present case.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC

BY / / / / Canfield

Reg. No. 38,404 P.O. Box 1135

Chicago, Illinois 60690-1135

Phone: (312) 807-4233

Dated: July 26, 2004

V

In re Patent Application of. Haruo Oba et al.

AUTHENTICATION INFORMATION COMMUNICATION SYSTEM AND METHOD, PORTABLE INFORMATION PROCESSING DEVICE AND PROGRAM FURNISHING METHOD

Docket No.: 112857-075 (09/696,927)

On the date stamped hereon the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office hereby acknowledges the receipt of the following:

- Transmittal Letter; (Duplicate);
- Response to Office Action (3 Pages); and
- Return Receipt Postcard.

Mailed on: Juty 26, 2004. (JQC)



BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC

P.O. Box 1135

Chicago, IL 60690-1135

O USF8 2002 🚭 199944

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS .
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ other:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.